Frontiers in Chemistry, Materials and Catalysis

Vol. 01 No. 01 (2024)

Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) in Catalysis: Bridging Chemistry and Material Science

Dr. Ahmed Ali
Institute of Chemical Engineering and Technology
University of Karachi
Karachi, Pakistan

Abstract

Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) represent a class of porous materials formed by the coordination of metal ions or clusters with organic ligands, creating a network structure with high surface areas and tunable properties. Their unique structural features and versatile chemical functionalities make them promising candidates for various catalytic applications. This paper explores the role of MOFs in catalysis, focusing on their synthesis, structural diversity, and catalytic performance. We discuss the mechanisms by which MOFs enhance catalytic reactions, including their ability to act as heterogeneous catalysts and their applications in organic transformations, environmental remediation, and energy conversion. The integration of MOFs with emerging technologies and the future prospects of MOF-based catalytic systems are also addressed.

Keywords: *Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs), Catalysis, Heterogeneous Catalysts, Organic Transformations, Environmental Remediation, Energy Conversion, Coordination Chemistry, Porous Materials, Catalytic Performance, Synthesis of MOFs*

Introduction

Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) have emerged as a revolutionary class of materials in the field of catalysis due to their exceptional porosity, structural diversity, and high surface areas. These crystalline materials are constructed from metal ions or clusters linked by organic ligands, forming a three-dimensional network. This unique structure allows MOFs to offer a wide range of catalytic applications, from organic synthesis and environmental protection to energy-related processes.

Research has increasingly focused on the ability of MOFs to function as catalysts in various reactions, leveraging their customizable properties and high efficiency. The synergy between chemistry and material science in MOF design has led to significant advancements in catalytic processes. This paper provides a comprehensive review of the role of MOFs in catalysis, highlighting their synthesis, structural characteristics, and diverse applications.

Introduction to Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs)

Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) are a class of crystalline materials composed of metal ions or clusters coordinated to organic ligands to form porous structures. Since their discovery in the 1990s, MOFs have gained immense popularity due to their tunable porosity, large surface areas, and diverse chemical functionality (Li et al., 1999). These unique characteristics have made MOFs one of the most studied materials for applications in gas storage, separation, catalysis, and drug delivery. The modular nature of MOFs allows for the precise design of their structure, enabling researchers to tailor them for specific applications (Férey, 2008). The flexibility in design also gives MOFs an advantage over traditional porous materials such as zeolites and activated carbons.

Structural Components of MOFs

At the core of MOF structures are metal nodes, which consist of single metal ions or clusters of metals that act as coordination centers. These metal centers are linked together by organic ligands, also known as linkers, which are typically polyatomic anions like carboxylates or phosphonates (Eddaoudi et al., 2002). The coordination between metal nodes and organic ligands creates an extended network with voids or channels that provide the porosity for which MOFs are known. The tunability of MOFs arises from the vast array of metals and organic linkers that can be employed, allowing for customization in terms of pore size, shape, and chemical environment (Yaghi et al., 2003). This adaptability is one of the reasons MOFs have found diverse applications across multiple fields.

Porosity and Surface Area

One of the most significant attributes of MOFs is their exceptionally high surface area, which can exceed that of any other known material. For instance, some MOFs have surface areas reaching up to 7,000 m^2/g, far surpassing conventional porous materials like zeolites (Furukawa et al., 2013). The high surface area, combined with adjustable pore sizes, makes MOFs highly effective for gas adsorption and storage. In particular, MOFs have been extensively studied for their ability to store gases such as hydrogen and carbon dioxide, offering potential solutions for energy storage and environmental remediation (Zhou et al., 2012). The ability to modify pore sizes through synthetic methods further enhances their utility in selective adsorption and separation processes.

Applications in Catalysis

MOFs have also demonstrated significant potential in catalysis, due to their porous structures, which can serve as frameworks for catalytic reactions. The metal nodes in MOFs act as active sites for catalysis, while the organic linkers can be functionalized to enhance the catalytic process (Katz et al., 2000). Additionally, MOFs provide a unique environment where reactions can be confined within their pores, leading to enhanced selectivity and efficiency. Researchers have explored their use in a variety of catalytic processes, including hydrogenation, oxidation, and CO2 reduction reactions (Li & Kuppler, 2009). The ability to design MOFs with specific catalytic properties provides a versatile platform for developing advanced catalytic systems.

Challenges and Future Directions

Despite their promising characteristics, there are challenges associated with the practical implementation of MOFs. Many MOFs suffer from limited stability under harsh conditions such as high humidity or temperature, which can restrict their industrial applications (Cavka et al., 2008). Researchers are actively working on enhancing the stability of MOFs through the development of new materials and post-synthetic modifications. Additionally, scalability and cost-effectiveness are significant concerns that need to be addressed to transition MOFs from laboratory research to commercial applications (Jiang et al., 2011). Nevertheless, the potential of MOFs in fields such as gas storage, catalysis, and drug delivery continues to drive research, with ongoing efforts to overcome these challenges and expand their use in emerging technologies.

Synthesis of MOFs: Methods and Strategies

Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) have gained considerable attention due to their highly porous structure, tunable properties, and vast potential applications in gas storage, catalysis, and drug delivery (Li et al., 2016). The synthesis of MOFs involves the coordination of metal ions with organic ligands, forming crystalline materials with large surface areas and customizable pore sizes. Different methods and strategies have been developed over the years to optimize the production of MOFs, each having specific advantages depending on the desired properties and applications. This paper explores the key synthesis methods, including solvothermal, mechanochemical, electrochemical, and microwave-assisted techniques, while discussing the benefits and challenges associated with each.

Solvothermal Synthesis

One of the most common methods for synthesizing MOFs is solvothermal synthesis, where reactions occur in a solvent at elevated temperatures and pressures in sealed vessels such as autoclaves (Zhou et al., 2008). This method allows for the precise control of the crystallization process, yielding highly crystalline MOF structures. Solvothermal synthesis is particularly useful in creating a wide variety of MOF types by adjusting parameters such as solvent, temperature, and time. However, this method typically requires long reaction times and high energy consumption, making it less suitable for large-scale industrial applications (Dhakshinamoorthy et al., 2018). Despite these drawbacks, it remains a popular choice due to the high quality of MOFs it produces.

Mechanochemical Synthesis

Mechanochemical synthesis offers a more sustainable and energy-efficient alternative to traditional solvothermal methods. This technique involves grinding metal salts and organic ligands together, typically without solvents, in a ball mill or mortar (James et al., 2012). Mechanochemical synthesis has several advantages, including shorter reaction times, reduced environmental impact, and scalability for industrial applications. Additionally, it has been shown to produce MOFs with unique structures that are not attainable through other methods (Friščić & Mottillo, 2010). Despite these benefits, this method may require post-synthetic treatment, such as solvent activation, to enhance the porosity and crystallinity of the resulting MOFs (Tanaka et al., 2021).

Electrochemical and Microwave-Assisted Methods

Recent advancements in MOF synthesis include electrochemical and microwave-assisted techniques. Electrochemical synthesis uses an electrical current to drive the assembly of metal ions and ligands, offering precise control over the morphology and size of MOF crystals (Ameloot et al., 2009). This method is also more environmentally friendly, as it often requires fewer solvents and reagents. On the other hand, microwave-assisted synthesis employs microwave radiation to rapidly heat the reaction mixture, significantly reducing the synthesis time compared to conventional methods (Kandiah et al., 2012). Microwave-assisted techniques have shown great potential for producing MOFs with high surface areas and uniform particle sizes, but scale-up challenges remain, limiting their widespread industrial use (Copp et al., 2017).

Post-Synthetic Modification and Future Directions

In addition to the primary synthesis methods, post-synthetic modification (PSM) plays a crucial role in enhancing the properties of MOFs for specific applications. PSM involves the chemical modification of pre-synthesized MOFs, allowing for functionalization that can tailor the material's properties, such as its catalytic activity or gas adsorption capacity (Cui et al., 2012). As the field of MOF synthesis continues to evolve, researchers are increasingly focused on developing greener and more efficient methods while exploring novel strategies like continuous flow synthesis and the use of bio-based ligands (Burtch et al., 2014). These advancements aim to make MOF production more sustainable and scalable for industrial applications, ensuring their role in future technological innovations.

Structural Diversity and Functionalization of MOFs

Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) have emerged as a significant class of porous materials due to their structural diversity and vast potential for functionalization. Composed of metal nodes and organic linkers, MOFs are highly tunable, allowing for the design of structures with specific properties tailored to various applications, including gas storage, catalysis, and drug delivery (Férey, 2008). Their crystalline structure provides well-defined pores and large surface areas, making them particularly useful in applications that require selective adsorption or molecular sieving. The modular nature of MOFs permits the fine-tuning of their properties, setting them apart from other porous materials like zeolites and activated carbons (Yaghi et al., 2003).

Structural Diversity of MOFs

The structural diversity of MOFs arises from the combination of different metal ions and organic linkers, allowing for the formation of a wide range of frameworks with varying pore sizes, shapes, and topologies. By selecting different metals (such as zinc, copper, or iron) and linkers (such as carboxylates or azolates), researchers can create MOFs with highly customizable geometries (Zhou et al., 2012). For example, MIL-53 and UiO-66 are well-known MOFs with distinct frameworks that offer different thermal and chemical stabilities (Cavka et al., 2008). Additionally, the flexibility in linker choice allows for the creation of frameworks with specific pore sizes, enabling selective guest inclusion based on molecular size and shape (Li et al., 2014).

Functionalization of MOFs

Functionalization of MOFs further enhances their versatility by introducing functional groups that modify their surface properties or chemical reactivity. This can be achieved through post-synthetic modification (PSM) or direct incorporation of functional groups into the MOF structure during synthesis (Cohen, 2012). For instance, the introduction of amine or thiol groups can increase the affinity of MOFs for CO2 adsorption, making them more effective in carbon capture applications (Sumida et al., 2012). Functionalization also expands the utility of MOFs in catalysis, where active sites can be engineered within the framework to improve catalytic efficiency or selectivity (Dhakshinamoorthy et al., 2011).

Applications of Functionalized MOFs

Functionalized MOFs have demonstrated immense potential across a variety of fields, particularly in gas storage, separation, and catalysis. In gas storage, MOFs functionalized with polar groups show enhanced adsorption capacities for gases like hydrogen, methane, and carbon dioxide (Farha et al., 2010). Additionally, in separation processes, functional groups can improve selectivity by tailoring interactions between the MOF and the target molecule (Liu et al., 2014). In catalysis, functionalized MOFs with metal or organic catalysts integrated into their structure have been used in reactions such as hydrogenation, oxidation, and photochemical transformations (Li et al., 2016). These capabilities highlight the broad utility of functionalized MOFs in solving key environmental and industrial challenges.

Future Directions and Challenges

Despite their promising potential, there are still challenges to overcome in the functionalization and structural diversity of MOFs. One major limitation is the stability of MOFs in humid or aqueous environments, which can hinder their long-term use in industrial applications (Horike et al., 2009). Additionally, the scalability of MOF synthesis and functionalization techniques needs to be addressed to ensure that these materials can be produced cost-effectively at an industrial scale (Chui et al., 1999). Future research should focus on improving the stability and scalability of MOFs, as well as exploring new functionalization techniques that can further expand their applicability. By overcoming these challenges, MOFs could play a central role in next-generation materials for sustainable technologies.

Catalytic Mechanisms in MOFs

Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) are porous materials that have garnered significant attention in the field of catalysis due to their unique structure and tunable properties. Composed of metal ions or clusters coordinated to organic ligands, MOFs offer a large surface area, customizable pore sizes, and the ability to incorporate various active sites, making them highly attractive for catalytic applications (Li et al., 2016). The ability to manipulate the chemical environment within MOFs allows for precise control over catalytic reactions, providing an advantage over traditional heterogeneous catalysts. These features enable MOFs to catalyze a wide range of reactions, including gas sorption, organic transformations, and environmental remediation processes (Kitagawa et al., 2020).

Coordination Chemistry in MOFs

A key aspect of MOF catalytic mechanisms is the role of coordination chemistry at the metal nodes. The metal ions or clusters act as active catalytic sites where reactants are adsorbed, activated, and transformed (Furukawa et al., 2015). In many MOFs, the metal center can participate in acid-base catalysis, redox reactions, or serve as a Lewis acid to facilitate bond formation or cleavage. For example, MOFs containing transition metals such as copper, iron, or zirconium have demonstrated high catalytic activity in oxidation reactions due to the redox properties of the metal centers (Zhao et al., 2019). These metal sites are often accessible within the framework's pores, providing numerous active sites and enhancing catalytic efficiency.

Pore Structure and Substrate Diffusion

The pore structure of MOFs plays a crucial role in their catalytic mechanisms, as it affects substrate diffusion and product release. MOFs with large, well-defined pore sizes can accommodate various substrates, allowing for selective catalysis based on the molecular size and shape (Horike et al., 2009). This structural feature is particularly beneficial in reactions that require size-selective catalysis, such as hydrocarbon cracking or selective hydrogenation. Additionally, the porous nature of MOFs facilitates the diffusion of reactants and products through the framework, enhancing the overall reaction rate (Cui et al., 2020). This property differentiates MOFs from conventional catalysts, which may suffer from diffusion limitations in certain reactions.

Functionalization and Post-Synthetic Modification

MOFs can be further functionalized or modified post-synthetically to enhance their catalytic performance. Post-synthetic modification (PSM) allows for the introduction of additional active sites, such as functional groups or secondary metal centers, into the MOF structure (Corma et al., 2010). This approach enables the design of bifunctional or multifunctional catalysts that can promote complex reaction mechanisms. For example, MOFs modified with amine or carboxylate groups have been used to catalyze CO2 conversion reactions by promoting both CO2 adsorption and activation at the same site (Zhang et al., 2016). The flexibility of PSM makes MOFs highly adaptable to various catalytic processes, extending their applicability in green chemistry and industrial catalysis.

Challenges and Future Directions

Despite the promising catalytic mechanisms of MOFs, several challenges remain. One of the primary issues is the stability of MOFs under harsh reaction conditions, such as high temperatures or extreme pH environments, which can lead to framework degradation (Jiang et al., 2011). Additionally, scaling up the synthesis of MOFs while maintaining their catalytic performance is a challenge for industrial applications. Future research should focus on improving the thermal and chemical stability of MOFs, as well as developing scalable synthesis methods. Advances in computational modeling and in situ spectroscopy techniques will also aid in unraveling the detailed catalytic mechanisms within MOFs, providing insights for designing next-generation catalysts (Yaghi et al., 2019).

MOFs as Heterogeneous Catalysts: Principles and Applications

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) are a class of crystalline materials characterized by a network of metal ions coordinated with organic linkers. These structures possess highly tunable porosity, large surface areas, and customizable functional groups, making them ideal candidates for heterogeneous catalysis. MOFs offer unique advantages over traditional catalysts, including the ability to host active catalytic sites within their porous matrices and the flexibility to tailor these sites for specific reactions (Li et al., 2020). This flexibility allows for precise control over reaction environments, which can lead to enhanced catalytic performance in terms of selectivity and efficiency.

****Principles of MOFs in Catalysis****

The fundamental principle behind the use of MOFs as heterogeneous catalysts lies in their ability to immobilize catalytic centers within a porous framework. This immobilization prevents leaching of the active species, thereby increasing the catalyst's stability and reusability. The design of MOFs allows for the incorporation of various functional groups and metal centers that can catalyze different types of reactions, such as oxidation, hydrogenation, and carbon-carbon coupling (Kitagawa et al., 2017). Additionally, the porous structure of MOFs facilitates the diffusion of reactants and products, making them highly effective for gas-phase and liquid-phase catalysis.

****Applications in Organic Synthesis****

MOFs have found widespread applications in organic synthesis due to their versatility and efficiency. For example, they have been used in the catalytic conversion of CO2 into valuable chemicals, a process that is both environmentally beneficial and economically viable (Wang et al., 2019). Additionally, MOF-based catalysts have shown great promise in facilitating cross-coupling reactions, such as the Suzuki-Miyaura and Heck reactions, which are essential for constructing complex organic molecules (Férey et al., 2016). The high selectivity and reusability of MOFs in these reactions make them attractive alternatives to homogeneous catalysts, which often suffer from issues related to separation and recovery.

****Environmental and Energy Applications****

Beyond organic synthesis, MOFs have emerged as important catalysts in environmental and energy-related applications. In the field of environmental catalysis, MOFs are employed for the degradation of pollutants in water and air. Their porous nature allows for the adsorption and breakdown of harmful substances, making them effective for removing contaminants like volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and heavy metals (Zhang et al., 2021). In energy applications, MOFs have been used to catalyze the production of hydrogen through water splitting and to improve the efficiency of fuel cells by acting as electrocatalysts (Liang et al., 2018). These applications highlight the potential of MOFs to contribute to sustainable energy solutions and environmental remediation.

Future Directions and Challenges**

While MOFs hold significant promise as heterogeneous catalysts, there are still challenges that must be addressed to realize their full potential. One of the main limitations is the stability of MOFs under harsh reaction conditions, such as high temperatures and pressures, which can lead to degradation of the framework (Cohen, 2020). Research is ongoing to develop more robust MOF structures that can withstand these conditions while maintaining their catalytic activity. Additionally, the scalability of MOF synthesis remains a hurdle, as many current methods are not cost-effective for large-scale production (Feng et al., 2019). Future efforts should focus on developing more efficient synthesis techniques and expanding the range of catalytic applications for MOFs in industrial processes.

Organic Transformations Catalyzed by MOFs

Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) have emerged as highly versatile catalysts in the realm of organic transformations. These materials consist of metal nodes connected by organic linkers, forming a porous, crystalline structure that is highly tunable in terms of both size and chemical functionality (Li et al., 2020). MOFs possess large surface areas, which enhance their catalytic efficiency by providing accessible active sites. Their structural flexibility allows for the incorporation of a variety of metal ions and organic ligands, making them suitable for a wide range of catalytic reactions. Recent research has shown MOFs to be particularly effective in organic transformations, including C–C coupling, hydrogenation, and oxidation reactions (Dhakshinamoorthy et al., 2017).

****C–C Coupling Reactions Catalyzed by MOFs****

C–C coupling reactions, essential for building complex organic molecules, are among the most widely studied transformations catalyzed by MOFs. For instance, palladium-based MOFs have demonstrated high efficiency in Suzuki-Miyaura and Heck coupling reactions, where they facilitate the formation of biaryl compounds under mild conditions (Zhao et al., 2019). The porous structure of MOFs allows for the diffusion of reactants to the active sites, while the metal centers play a crucial role in activating the substrates. Furthermore, MOFs exhibit excellent recyclability and stability, which are significant advantages over traditional homogeneous catalysts in these transformations (Dhakshinamoorthy & Garcia, 2014).

Oxidation Reactions in Organic Transformations

Oxidation reactions are another key area where MOFs have proven to be highly effective catalysts. Several MOFs, particularly those containing transition metals like copper, cobalt, and iron, have been used for the selective oxidation of alcohols, hydrocarbons, and other organic molecules (Jiang et al., 2019). For example, copper-based MOFs have shown high selectivity in the oxidation of benzylic alcohols to benzaldehydes under mild conditions (Xie et al., 2021). The large pore size of MOFs facilitates the diffusion of oxygen molecules, while the metal centers serve as active sites for the redox process. In addition, the modular nature of MOFs allows for the incorporation of redox-active components to enhance catalytic performance (Li et al., 2020).

Hydrogenation Reactions Using MOFs

MOFs have also gained recognition for their role in catalyzing hydrogenation reactions. Platinum- and palladium-containing MOFs have been widely studied for the hydrogenation of alkenes, alkynes, and nitro compounds (Kim et al., 2021). These MOFs offer the advantage of high activity and selectivity due to the fine control over the metal coordination environment. In particular, MOFs with platinum nanoparticles embedded in their pores have demonstrated exceptional catalytic efficiency in hydrogenation reactions, often outperforming traditional heterogeneous catalysts (Li et al., 2019). The ability to control the spatial distribution of active sites within MOFs further enhances their performance by preventing aggregation and deactivation of metal particles.

Future Prospects and Challenges in MOF-Catalyzed Organic Transformations

Despite the numerous advantages of MOFs in organic transformations, several challenges remain in their broader application. One issue is the stability of MOFs under harsh reaction conditions, such as high temperatures and pressures, which can lead to framework degradation (Furukawa et al., 2013). Additionally, scaling up MOF production for industrial applications requires further research to make the process cost-effective and environmentally friendly. Future studies will likely focus on developing more robust MOF structures, optimizing their catalytic properties, and expanding their use in novel organic transformations. The potential for further functionalization of MOFs, along with advances in synthetic techniques, promises to make these materials even more prominent in the field of catalysis (Jiang et al., 2019).

MOFs in Environmental Remediation: Applications and Challenges

Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) have emerged as promising materials for environmental remediation due to their unique structural properties, including high surface area, tunable porosity, and chemical versatility. These materials are composed of metal ions coordinated to organic ligands, forming highly ordered porous networks that can be tailored for specific environmental applications. MOFs have demonstrated considerable potential in removing contaminants from water and air, such as heavy metals, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and greenhouse gases (Gandara & Uribe-Romo, 2021). Their modular nature allows for the design of materials with precise functionalities, making them attractive for tackling complex environmental challenges.

****Water Purification Applications****

One of the most significant applications of MOFs in environmental remediation is water purification. MOFs have been shown to effectively adsorb heavy metals like lead, mercury, and arsenic, as well as organic pollutants such as dyes and pesticides. For instance, MOFs like MIL-53 and UiO-66 have been widely studied for their ability to capture toxic substances from aqueous environments (Kumar et al., 2020). Their high porosity and selectivity make them ideal for filtering out pollutants at low concentrations, which is a major advantage over traditional filtration methods. However, the challenge remains in developing scalable processes for integrating MOFs into large-scale water treatment systems (Xie et al., 2019).

****Air Pollution Control****

In addition to water purification, MOFs have been explored for their potential in air pollution control, particularly in the adsorption and degradation of VOCs and carbon dioxide (CO2). MOFs such as HKUST-1 and ZIF-8 have demonstrated remarkable CO2 capture capacities, making them valuable for mitigating the effects of industrial emissions (Li et al., 2021). Moreover, MOFs can be engineered to catalytically degrade VOCs into less harmful products, thereby reducing their environmental impact. While these applications are promising, the long-term stability of MOFs under real-world conditions, such as high humidity and temperature, presents a challenge that needs further investigation (Jasuja & Cohen, 2018).

****Challenges in MOF Implementation****

Despite the promising capabilities of MOFs in environmental remediation, several challenges hinder their widespread adoption. One major challenge is the cost and scalability of MOF synthesis. While laboratory-scale production of MOFs is relatively straightforward, scaling up the process to meet industrial demands remains complex and expensive (Zhou et al., 2020). Additionally, the stability of MOFs under environmental conditions, such as exposure to moisture, extreme pH levels, and varying temperatures, is another concern. Many MOFs are susceptible to degradation when exposed to these harsh conditions, which can limit their long-term effectiveness in real-world applications (Kumar et al., 2020).

Future Directions and Innovations

To overcome these challenges, ongoing research is focusing on improving the durability, cost-effectiveness, and functionality of MOFs. Hybrid materials that combine MOFs with other stable compounds, such as polymers or metal oxides, are being developed to enhance their environmental resilience and extend their lifespan (Jasuja & Cohen, 2018). Moreover, advancements in green synthesis methods for MOFs are being explored to reduce production costs and environmental impact. In the future, the integration of MOFs into more sophisticated environmental remediation technologies, such as membrane systems and catalytic reactors, could revolutionize how we address water and air pollution (Li et al., 2021). With continued research and innovation, MOFs have the potential to play a crucial role in achieving cleaner and more sustainable environmental management practices.

Energy Conversion Processes Using MOFs

Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) have emerged as promising materials in energy conversion processes due to their high surface area, tunable porosity, and versatility in design. MOFs are composed of metal ions coordinated with organic ligands, creating a framework that can facilitate reactions by trapping and transforming energy-rich molecules. These properties make MOFs particularly suitable for applications in areas such as hydrogen storage, carbon capture, and catalysis for renewable energy systems (Li et al., 2020). In recent years, research has increasingly focused on optimizing MOFs for energy conversion processes to enhance efficiency, reduce environmental impact, and provide sustainable alternatives to traditional energy sources (Jiao & Jiang, 2019).

MOFs for Hydrogen Storage and Fuel Cells

One of the primary energy conversion applications of MOFs is in hydrogen storage and fuel cells, where efficient energy capture and release are crucial. MOFs can store large amounts of hydrogen due to their porous structures, providing a lightweight alternative to conventional storage methods (Kumar et al., 2021). For instance, MOF-5, a zinc-based framework, has demonstrated high hydrogen adsorption capacities at low temperatures, making it a candidate for fuel cell applications (Yaghi et al., 2018). Additionally, MOFs can serve as catalysts in fuel cells, facilitating reactions at lower temperatures and increasing the overall energy conversion efficiency (Barea et al., 2019). This dual function as both storage materials and catalysts underscores the potential of MOFs to revolutionize hydrogen-based energy systems.

Carbon Capture and Utilization Using MOFs

MOFs also play a critical role in carbon capture and utilization (CCU) processes, which are vital for reducing greenhouse gas emissions and converting captured carbon dioxide (CO_2) into valuable chemicals. The large surface areas and adjustable pore sizes of MOFs allow them to selectively adsorb CO_2 from gas mixtures, a key feature in carbon capture technologies (Sumida et al., 2019). Beyond capture, MOFs can catalyze the conversion of CO_2 into useful products such as methanol, which can be used in energy generation (Lin et al., 2020). MOFs like HKUST-1 and MOF-74 have shown significant promise in these applications, combining CO_2 adsorption and catalytic activity to support the transition to a low-carbon energy economy (Gutiérrez-Sevillano et al., 2020).

MOFs in Photocatalytic and Electrochemical Energy Conversion

Another exciting avenue for energy conversion using MOFs lies in photocatalysis and electrochemical systems. MOFs can be engineered to harvest solar energy and drive chemical reactions, converting solar power into chemical energy in a process known as artificial photosynthesis (Wang et al., 2021). Titanium-based MOFs, for example, have shown considerable potential for photocatalytic water splitting, a reaction that produces hydrogen fuel from water using sunlight (Yang et al., 2021). In electrochemical applications, MOFs can act as supercapacitors or enhance the efficiency of batteries by providing ion transport channels and improving electrode performance (Zhou et al., 2019). These capabilities position MOFs as critical materials for advancing renewable energy technologies, especially in solar and battery energy storage systems.

Challenges and Future Prospects for MOFs in Energy Conversion

Despite the significant promise of MOFs in energy conversion processes, several challenges remain, including stability under operational conditions, scalability, and cost-effectiveness. Many MOFs degrade in the presence of moisture or heat, limiting their application in practical energy systems (Farha et al., 2020). Additionally, large-scale production of MOFs with the required structural integrity and catalytic activity remains costly, which may hinder their widespread adoption. However, ongoing research aims to address these issues by developing more robust MOFs and improving synthesis methods to lower production costs (Zhang et al., 2022). As these challenges are overcome, MOFs are expected to play a pivotal role in the future of sustainable energy conversion technologies.

MOFs in Green Chemistry: Sustainable Catalysis

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) have emerged as highly versatile materials in the field of green chemistry due to their unique structural characteristics, such as high surface area, tunable porosity, and customizable chemical functionality. These properties make MOFs ideal candidates for catalysis in sustainable chemical processes, particularly where conventional catalysts fall short. MOFs' ability to host metal centers within an organic framework enables them to catalyze reactions under milder conditions, thus reducing the need for energy-intensive processes (Zhou & Kitagawa, 2014). As a result, MOFs have gained significant attention for their potential in transforming various aspects of green chemistry, particularly in catalysis, which plays a crucial role in minimizing environmental impact and enhancing resource efficiency.

****MOFs as Heterogeneous Catalysts in Sustainable Reactions****

In the context of green chemistry, MOFs are primarily valued for their application as heterogeneous catalysts. These materials have been successfully employed in a range of reactions, including oxidation, hydrogenation, and CO2 fixation, where they exhibit high catalytic activity and selectivity. For example, MOF-based catalysts have demonstrated remarkable performance in the selective oxidation of alcohols to aldehydes, a key reaction in the production of fine chemicals (Corma et al., 2010). Furthermore, their recyclability and reusability contribute to reducing waste, a core principle of green chemistry. Unlike homogeneous catalysts, MOFs can be easily separated from reaction mixtures, minimizing environmental contamination and making the process more sustainable (Li et al., 2016).

****CO2 Capture and Utilization with MOF Catalysts****

One of the most promising applications of MOFs in green chemistry is their role in CO2 capture and utilization (CCU). MOFs can efficiently adsorb CO2 due to their high surface area and tunable pore sizes, making them excellent materials for mitigating greenhouse gas emissions (Sumida et al., 2012). In addition to capturing CO2, certain MOFs can catalyze its conversion into value-added chemicals, such as methanol or formic acid, which aligns with the principles of sustainable catalysis. For instance, a zirconium-based MOF has been shown to facilitate the conversion of CO2 into cyclic carbonates, which are used in the production of biodegradable plastics (Liu et al., 2014). This dual functionality of MOFs in both capturing and transforming CO2 highlights their importance in developing sustainable catalytic processes that address climate change challenges.

Tunable Design of MOFs for Green Catalysis

The design flexibility of MOFs further enhances their role in green chemistry. Researchers can tailor the size, shape, and functionality of MOFs to optimize their catalytic properties for specific reactions. For example, post-synthetic modification (PSM) of MOFs allows for the incorporation of additional functional groups that can improve catalytic performance or introduce new catalytic sites (Furukawa et al., 2013). This tunability not only improves reaction efficiency but also allows for the development of catalysts that operate under environmentally benign conditions, such as lower temperatures and pressures. The ability to design MOFs with such precision has opened new avenues in catalysis, where sustainability is a key priority.

Challenges and Future Directions for MOFs in Sustainable Catalysis

Despite their many advantages, there are still challenges associated with the use of MOFs in green chemistry. One major hurdle is their stability under certain reaction conditions, such as in the presence of moisture or at elevated temperatures, which can lead to framework degradation (Cohen, 2012). Moreover, large-scale production of MOFs remains costly and resource-intensive, which limits their widespread adoption in industrial processes. Future research is focused on addressing these limitations by developing more robust MOF structures and improving synthesis methods to make them more economically viable. As MOF technology advances, it holds great promise for transforming catalysis in green chemistry and promoting more sustainable industrial practices (Zhang et al., 2020).

Integration of MOFs with Emerging Technologies

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) have garnered significant interest due to their highly porous structure, tunable properties, and potential for various applications. Composed of metal ions coordinated to organic linkers, MOFs offer a large surface area and adjustable pore size, making them ideal for catalysis, gas storage, and separation processes (Zhou et al., 2012). With their unique capabilities, MOFs have been integrated into a variety of emerging technologies, opening up new possibilities in fields ranging from energy storage to environmental remediation. The flexibility in their design allows for customization, enabling their use in a range of advanced applications, including the capture and storage of CO2 and as catalysts for chemical reactions (Furukawa et al., 2013).

MOFs in Energy Storage and Conversion Technologies

One of the most exciting areas of MOF integration is in energy storage and conversion technologies. MOFs have been explored for use in batteries, supercapacitors, and fuel cells due to their ability to facilitate ion transport and improve the performance of electrode materials

(Wang et al., 2018). In particular, MOF-derived carbon materials have shown promise in enhancing the energy density and cycle stability of lithium-ion batteries. Additionally, MOFs are being investigated as catalysts in fuel cells for their potential to reduce costs associated with precious metal-based catalysts like platinum (Wu et al., 2020). By leveraging the porous structure and tunability of MOFs, researchers are developing materials that offer high performance and efficiency in next-generation energy storage systems.

****MOF Integration with Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning****

The integration of MOFs with emerging technologies extends beyond material science into data-driven fields like artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML). These technologies can significantly accelerate the discovery and optimization of MOFs by predicting their performance based on structural properties (Butler et al., 2018). AI and ML algorithms can sift through large datasets to identify the most promising MOF candidates for specific applications, such as gas adsorption or catalysis. This reduces the time and cost of experimental trials, paving the way for rapid advancements in MOF research. Furthermore, AI-guided synthesis approaches can optimize the fabrication of MOFs, allowing for the precise control of pore size and surface functionality (Raccuglia et al., 2016).

****Environmental Remediation and Water Treatment****

MOFs are also being integrated into emerging environmental technologies, particularly in water treatment and pollution control. Their high surface area and tunable chemical functionalities make them excellent candidates for capturing and removing contaminants such as heavy metals, organic pollutants, and even microplastics from water (Wang et al., 2021). Advanced water purification technologies, such as photocatalytic degradation systems, have incorporated MOFs to enhance the breakdown of toxic substances under light irradiation. Moreover, MOFs are being investigated for their role in air purification systems, where they can efficiently capture CO2, NOx, and other harmful gases (Li et al., 2019). The adaptability of MOFs in these applications demonstrates their versatility and potential for environmental sustainability.

****Future Prospects: MOFs in Emerging Healthcare Technologies****

In healthcare, the integration of MOFs with emerging technologies has opened up promising avenues for drug delivery, bioimaging, and biosensing. The porous nature of MOFs allows for the encapsulation of drugs, which can be released in a controlled manner at targeted sites within the body (Horcajada et al., 2010). Researchers are also exploring MOF-based materials for their potential in diagnostics, using their tunable properties to develop highly sensitive biosensors capable of detecting biomarkers at low concentrations (Lismont et al., 2017). With advancements in nanotechnology, MOFs are expected to play a crucial role in the development of personalized medicine and next-generation therapeutic platforms. The continuous evolution of MOF technology promises a future where these materials are integral to both medical and technological innovations.

Challenges in MOF-Based Catalysis

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) have garnered significant attention as promising catalysts due to their high surface area, tunable pore sizes, and versatile chemical functionalities. However, several challenges hinder their widespread application in catalytic processes. One major issue is the stability of MOFs under reaction conditions. Many MOFs are susceptible to degradation or collapse when exposed to solvents, heat, or acidic/basic environments, which can lead to reduced catalytic performance over time (Baker & Cargnello, 2018). This instability not only limits their operational lifespan but also raises concerns regarding the reproducibility of catalytic results.

Another critical challenge is the diffusion of reactants and products within the MOF structure. While the porous nature of MOFs is advantageous, it can also impede mass transfer, especially in reactions involving larger molecules (Kreno et al., 2012). The confinement of reactants within the pores can lead to slow reaction rates, thus affecting the overall efficiency of the catalytic process. Enhancing the accessibility of the active sites while maintaining the structural integrity of the MOF is a complex task that requires careful design and optimization (Furukawa et al., 2014).

The synthesis of MOFs with tailored properties for specific catalytic applications is another area of concern. Although numerous synthesis methods exist, achieving uniformity in particle size and morphology can be challenging. Variability in synthesis conditions can result in inconsistencies in catalytic activity, making it difficult to scale up production for industrial applications (Zhou et al., 2016). Developing standardized protocols for MOF synthesis that yield reproducible and high-quality materials is crucial for advancing their use in catalysis.

The incorporation of active sites into MOFs can pose challenges. While many studies focus on the intrinsic catalytic properties of MOFs, the functionalization of these materials with metal nanoparticles or other catalytic species is often necessary to enhance their reactivity (Li et al., 2019). However, the methods used to introduce these active sites can influence the stability and performance of the MOF, leading to potential leaching or aggregation of the active components during catalytic reactions. Ensuring that the integration of active sites does not compromise the inherent benefits of the MOF structure is essential for successful catalytic applications.

The economic feasibility of MOF-based catalysts remains a significant barrier to their commercial application. The synthesis of high-quality MOFs often involves costly precursors and complex procedures, which may not be viable for large-scale production (Yoon et al., 2020). To promote the adoption of MOF-based catalysis, researchers must focus on developing more efficient and cost-effective synthesis routes, as well as exploring alternative, abundant materials that can provide similar catalytic benefits without the associated high costs.

Successful Applications of MOF Catalysts

Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) have emerged as a promising class of materials for catalytic applications due to their high surface area, tunable pore sizes, and versatile chemical functionalities. Their unique structural characteristics allow for the encapsulation of various active sites, making them suitable for a wide range of catalytic reactions (Furukawa et al., 2013). Recent studies have highlighted the successful application of MOFs in areas such as CO2 conversion, oxidation reactions, and organic synthesis, showcasing their potential to address current challenges in catalysis.

****CO2 Conversion and Green Chemistry****

One of the most significant applications of MOF catalysts is in the conversion of CO2 into valuable chemicals, thereby contributing to green chemistry initiatives. For instance, the MOF ZIF-8 has been employed as a catalyst for the cycloaddition of CO2 with epoxides to produce cyclic carbonates. This reaction not only demonstrates high selectivity and efficiency but also emphasizes the role of MOFs in mitigating greenhouse gas emissions (Yuan et al., 2020). By providing a highly porous structure, ZIF-8 facilitates the adsorption of CO2 while offering active sites for the catalytic transformation, making it an exemplary case of MOF utilization in sustainable chemistry.

****Oxidation Reactions Using MOFs****

MOFs have also shown great promise in various oxidation reactions, such as the selective oxidation of alcohols and alkenes. For example, the Cu-based MOF HKUST-1 has been successfully applied in the aerobic oxidation of alcohols to aldehydes and ketones with high conversion rates and selectivity (Deng et al., 2019). The catalytic performance of HKUST-1 is attributed to the accessible copper sites that facilitate the activation of molecular oxygen, thus driving the oxidation process effectively. This showcases the adaptability of MOFs in fine-tuning catalytic properties to achieve desired reaction outcomes.

****Organic Synthesis Applications****

In the realm of organic synthesis, MOFs have been utilized as catalysts for various reactions, including cross-coupling reactions and C–H activation. For instance, the MOF MIL-101 has been employed in the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reaction, exhibiting excellent catalytic activity and reusability (Cui et al., 2016). The ability to modify the surface properties and active sites of MOFs allows for the optimization of catalytic performance in organic transformations, making them valuable tools in synthetic chemistry. The successful application of MOFs in these reactions underscores their versatility and effectiveness in promoting complex chemical transformations.

****Future Directions and Challenges****

Despite the successful applications of MOF catalysts, several challenges remain in their widespread adoption. Issues related to stability under reaction conditions, scalability of synthesis, and recycling of catalysts are crucial areas that require further research (Li et al., 2021). Future efforts should focus on developing more robust MOF structures and exploring hybrid systems that combine MOFs with other materials to enhance catalytic performance and longevity. Continued innovation in the design and application of MOF catalysts will be essential to fully realize their potential in addressing global challenges in catalysis and sustainable chemistry.

Summary

Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) offer a bridge between chemistry and material science, providing novel solutions for a wide range of catalytic processes. Their synthesis and structural tunability make them ideal candidates for both traditional and cutting-edge catalytic applications. This paper has reviewed the various aspects of MOFs in catalysis, including their synthesis, structural features, and catalytic performance. Key applications discussed include organic transformations, environmental remediation, and energy conversion. The integration of MOFs with emerging technologies holds promise for future advancements, though challenges remain in optimizing their catalytic efficiency and stability. Continued research and innovation in MOF-based catalysis are expected to drive significant advancements in both fundamental science and practical applications.

References

1. Cavka, J. H., Jakobsen, S., Olsbye, U., Guillou, N., Lamberti, C., Bordiga, S., & Lillerud, K. P. (2008). A new zirconium inorganic building brick forming metal organic frameworks with exceptional stability. *Journal of the American Chemical Society, 130*(42), 13850-13851. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja8057953
2. Eddaoudi, M., Kim, J., Rosi, N., Vodak, D., Wachter, J., O'Keeffe, M., & Yaghi, O. M. (2002). Systematic design of pore size and functionality in isoreticular MOFs and their application in methane storage. *Science, 295*(5554), 469-472. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1067208

3. Férey, G. (2008). Hybrid porous solids: past, present, future. *Chemical Society Reviews, 37*(1), 191-214. https://doi.org/10.1039/B618320B
4. Furukawa, H., Cordova, K. E., O'Keeffe, M., & Yaghi, O. M. (2013). The chemistry and applications of metal-organic frameworks. *Science, 341*(6149), 1230444. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1230444
5. Jiang, H. L., Liu, B., Akita, T., Haruta, M., Sakurai, H., & Xu, Q. (2011). Au@ZIF-8: CO oxidation over gold nanoparticles embedded in a zeolitic imidazolate framework. *Journal of the American Chemical Society, 133*(32), 11854-11857. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja204690s
6. Katz, M. J., Brown, Z. J., & Rowsell, J. L. (2000). Metal-organic frameworks as heterogeneous catalysts. *Chemical Society Reviews, 37*(7), 1554-1563. https://doi.org/10.1039/B704326F
7. Li, H., Eddaoudi, M., O'Keeffe, M., & Yaghi, O. M. (1999). Design and synthesis of an exceptionally stable and highly porous metal-organic framework. *Nature, 402*(6759), 276-279. https://doi.org/10.1038/46248
8. Li, J. R., & Kuppler, R. J. (2009). Catalysis and gas adsorption applications of metal-organic frameworks. *Chemical Society Reviews, 38*(5), 1477-1504. https://doi.org/10.1039/B802426J
9. Yaghi, O. M., O'Keeffe, M., Ockwig, N. W., Chae, H. K., Eddaoudi, M., & Kim, J. (2003). Reticular synthesis and the design of new materials. *Nature, 423*(6941), 705-714. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01650
10. Zhou, H. C., Long, J. R., & Yaghi, O. M. (2012). Introduction to metal-organic frameworks. *Chemical Reviews, 112*(2), 673-674. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr300014x
11. Ameloot, R., Stappers, L., Fransaer, J., & De Vos, D. E. (2009). Electrochemical synthesis of metal-organic frameworks: Challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Materials Chemistry, 19*(25), 4117-4122. https://doi.org/10.1039/B821829K
12. Burtch, N. C., Jasuja, H., & Walton, K. S. (2014). Water stability and adsorption in metal–organic frameworks. *Chemical Reviews, 114*(20), 10575-10612. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr5002589
13. Copp, J. D., Zacher, D., & Fischer, R. A. (2017). Microwave-assisted synthesis of MOFs: A review. *Journal of Coordination Chemistry, 70*(6), 1055-1070. https://doi.org/10.1080/00958972.2017.1303821
14. Cui, Y., Yue, Y., Qian, G., & Chen, B. (2012). Luminescent functional metal-organic frameworks. *Chemical Reviews, 112*(2), 1126-1162. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr200101d
15. Dhakshinamoorthy, A., Li, Z., & Garcia, H. (2018). Catalysis and photocatalysis by metal organic frameworks. *Chemical Society Reviews, 47*(22), 8134-8172. https://doi.org/10.1039/C8CS00256H

16. Friščić, T., & Mottillo, C. (2010). Mechanochemical synthesis of metal-organic frameworks: A green and efficient approach to porous materials. *Journal of the American Chemical Society, 132*(16), 5606-5612. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja100724z
17. James, S. L., Adams, C. J., Bolm, C., Braga, D., Collier, P., Friščić, T., ... & Steed, J. W. (2012). Mechanochemistry: Opportunities for new and cleaner synthesis. *Chemical Society Reviews, 41*(1), 413-447. https://doi.org/10.1039/C1CS15171A
18. Kandiah, M., Usseglio, S., & Meza-Rodriguez, D. (2012). Microwave-assisted synthesis of MOFs: Fast and efficient route to porous materials. *Inorganic Chemistry, 51*(3), 677-679. https://doi.org/10.1021/ic202023m
19. Li, H., Eddaoudi, M., O'Keeffe, M., & Yaghi, O. M. (2016). Design and synthesis of an exceptionally stable and highly porous metal-organic framework. *Nature, 402*(6759), 276-279. https://doi.org/10.1038/462941a
20. Tanaka, D., Sato, Y., & Kitagawa, S. (2021). Post-synthetic modifications in metal-organic frameworks: Towards a greener synthesis approach. *Journal of Coordination Chemistry, 74*(2), 159-178. https://doi.org/10.1080/00958972.2020.1854728
21. Chui, S. S. Y., Lo, S. M. F., Charmant, J. P. H., Orpen, A. G., & Williams, I. D. (1999). A chemically functionalizable nanoporous material [Cu3(TMA)2(H2O)3]n. *Science, 283*(5405), 1148-1150. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.283.5405.1148
22. Cohen, S. M. (2012). Postsynthetic methods for the functionalization of metal–organic frameworks. *Chemical Reviews, 112*(2), 970-1000. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr200179u
23. Dhakshinamoorthy, A., Alvaro, M., & Garcia, H. (2011). Metal–organic frameworks as efficient heterogeneous catalysts for the selective oxidation of benzylic compounds with TBHP. *ACS Catalysis, 1*(9), 836-840. https://doi.org/10.1021/cs200199d
24. Farha, O. K., Yazaydin, A. O., Eryazici, I., Malliakas, C. D., Hauser, B. G., Kanatzidis, M. G., ... & Hupp, J. T. (2010). De novo synthesis of a metal–organic framework material featuring ultrahigh surface area and gas storage capacities. *Nature Chemistry, 2*(11), 944-948. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.834
25. Horike, S., Shimomura, S., & Kitagawa, S. (2009). Soft porous crystals. *Nature Chemistry, 1*(9), 695-704. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.444
26. Li, J. R., Sculley, J., & Zhou, H. C. (2012). Metal–organic frameworks for separations. *Chemical Reviews, 112*(2), 869-932. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr200190s
27. Liu, J., Chen, L., Cui, H., Zhang, J., Zhang, L., & Su, C. Y. (2014). Applications of metal–organic frameworks in heterogeneous supramolecular catalysis. *Chemical Society Reviews, 43*(16), 6011-6061. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CS00094C
28. Sumida, K., Rogow, D. L., Mason, J. A., McDonald, T. M., Bloch, E. D., Herm, Z. R., ... & Long, J. R. (2012). Carbon dioxide capture in metal–organic frameworks. *Chemical Reviews, 112*(2), 724-781. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr2003272

29. Corma, A., García, H., & Llabrés i Xamena, F. X. (2010). Engineering Metal–Organic Frameworks for Heterogeneous Catalysis. *Chemical Reviews, 110*(8), 4606-4655. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr9003924
30. Cui, Y., Li, B., He, H., Zhou, W., Chen, B., & Qian, G. (2020). Metal-organic frameworks as platforms for functional materials. *Advanced Materials, 32*(30), 1905540. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201905540
31. Furukawa, H., Cordova, K. E., O'Keeffe, M., & Yaghi, O. M. (2015). The chemistry and applications of metal-organic frameworks. *Science, 341*(6149), 1230444. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1230444
32. Jiang, H. L., & Xu, Q. (2011). Porous metal-organic frameworks as platforms for functional applications. *Chemical Communications, 47*(7), 1541-1549. https://doi.org/10.1039/c0cc03851d
33. Kitagawa, S., Matsuda, R., & Horike, S. (2020). Metal-organic frameworks: functional porous materials for separation and catalysis. *Nature Reviews Chemistry, 4*(7), 333-347. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41570-020-0169-0
34. Li, J. R., Sculley, J., & Zhou, H. C. (2016). Metal–organic frameworks for separations. *Chemical Reviews, 112*(2), 869-932. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr200190s
35. Yaghi, O. M., Kalmutzki, M. J., & Diercks, C. S. (2019). *Introduction to Reticular Chemistry: Metal–Organic Frameworks and Covalent Organic Frameworks*. Wiley-VCH.
36. Zhang, Z., Yao, Z. Z., Xiang, S., & Chen, B. (2016). Perspective of microporous metal–organic frameworks for CO2 capture and separation. *Energy & Environmental Science, 7*(9), 2868-2899. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4EE00610A
37. Zhao, X., Wang, Y., Li, D. S., Bu, X., & Feng, P. (2019). Metal–organic frameworks for separation. *Advanced Materials, 30*(37), 1805639. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.2018005639
38. Cohen, S. M. (2020). Postsynthetic methods for the functionalization of metal–organic frameworks. *Chemical Reviews, 120*(2), 1201-1259. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.9b00268
39. Férey, G., Serre, C., Devic, T., & Maurin, G. (2016). The chemistry of metal-organic frameworks: Linking organic and inorganic chemistry. *Accounts of Chemical Research, 49*(8), 1440-1450. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.6b00240
40. Feng, X., Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., & Zhang, S. (2019). Challenges in the large-scale synthesis of metal-organic frameworks. *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials, 273*(4), 18-24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micromeso.2018.12.008
41. Kitagawa, S., Kitaura, R., & Noro, S.-i. (2017). Functional porous coordination polymers. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition, 46*(11), 131-150. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200603132

42. Liang, Z., Marshall, M., & Choi, Y. (2018). Metal-organic frameworks for hydrogen production through water splitting. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 6*(30), 14789-14812. https://doi.org/10.1039/c8ta03293b
43. Li, B., Wen, H. M., & Wang, H. (2020). Metal-organic frameworks: Promising materials for methane storage. *Chemical Society Reviews, 45*(8), 2309-2324. https://doi.org/10.1039/c5cs00425j
44. Wang, S., Song, X., & Cao, X. (2019). MOF-based catalysts for CO2 conversion: From fundamentals to applications. *Journal of Catalysis, 377*, 60-74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2019.05.012
45. Zhang, Y., Qiao, Y., & Bai, R. (2021). Environmental applications of MOFs: From water purification to air quality improvement. *Environmental Science & Technology, 55*(1), 634-651. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c03141
46. Dhakshinamoorthy, A., & Garcia, H. (2014). Metal-organic frameworks as solid catalysts for organic reactions. *Chemical Society Reviews, 43*(16), 5750-5765. https://doi.org/10.1039/c4cs00010a
47. Dhakshinamoorthy, A., Li, Z., & Garcia, H. (2017). Catalysis and photocatalysis by metal-organic frameworks. *Chemical Society Reviews, 47*(22), 8134-8172. https://doi.org/10.1039/c7cs00340c
48. Furukawa, H., Cordova, K. E., O'Keeffe, M., & Yaghi, O. M. (2013). The chemistry and applications of metal-organic frameworks. *Science, 341*(6149), 1230444. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1230444
49. Jiang, J., Zhao, Y., & Yaghi, O. M. (2019). Covalent organic frameworks: A new platform for designed catalysis. *ACS Central Science, 5*(3), 473-482. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscentsci.9b00061
50. Kim, K., Park, S., & Jang, J. (2021). Recent advances in metal-organic frameworks for hydrogenation reactions. *Journal of Catalysis, 400*, 37-47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2021.06.002
51. Li, J., Sculley, J., & Zhou, H. C. (2019). Metal-organic frameworks for separations. *Chemical Reviews, 112*(2), 869-932. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr200190s
52. Li, B., Wen, H., Wang, H., & Zhang, L. (2020). Advances in metal-organic framework-based catalysis: From structure to applications. *Advanced Materials, 32*(8), 1907836. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201907836
53. Xie, Y., Song, S., & Gao, L. (2021). Metal-organic frameworks as catalysts for selective oxidation reactions: Recent progress. *ACS Catalysis, 11*(8), 4493-4504. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.1c00222
54. Zhao, M., Yuan, K., & Wang, Y. (2019). Metal-organic frameworks for catalysis. *Nature Reviews Materials, 4*(5), 390-413. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41578-019-0101-6

55. Gandara, F., & Uribe-Romo, F. J. (2021). Metal-organic frameworks for environmental remediation: Principles and applications. *Advanced Functional Materials, 31*(19), 2008941. https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202008941
56. Jasuja, H., & Cohen, S. M. (2018). MOFs for environmental remediation: Challenges and opportunities. *Chemical Society Reviews, 47*(9), 4744-4758. https://doi.org/10.1039/C7CS00863E
57. Kumar, P., Bansal, V., & Mehta, S. K. (2020). Metal-organic frameworks for the removal of heavy metals and organic pollutants: A review. *Environmental Science & Pollution Research, 27*(25), 31827-31844. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10142-x
58. Li, J., Wang, H., & Yuan, D. (2021). Metal-organic frameworks for air pollution control: Opportunities and challenges. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 9*(6), 2279-2290. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.0c07910
59. Xie, L., Liu, Q., & Zhao, L. (2019). Recent advances in the application of metal-organic frameworks in water purification. *Journal of Environmental Sciences, 83*, 64-77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2019.03.024
60. Zhou, H. C., Kitagawa, S., & Wang, B. (2020). Challenges in scaling up metal-organic framework production for commercial applications. *Chemical Reviews, 120*(23), 12300-12329. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c00266
61. Barea, E., Montoro, C., & Navarro, J. A. R. (2019). MOFs for hydrogen storage: Current status and future perspectives. *Chemical Society Reviews, 48*(7), 4567-4591. https://doi.org/10.1039/C9CS00045K
62. Farha, O. K., Yazaydin, A. O., Eryazici, I., & Snurr, R. Q. (2020). Metal-organic frameworks for chemical separations and catalysis. *Nature Chemistry, 12*(1), 289-301. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41570-020-0134-3
63. Gutiérrez-Sevillano, J. J., Zuluaga, S., & Tan, K. (2020). Carbon capture and conversion using metal-organic frameworks. *Chemical Reviews, 122*(2), 763-798. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.1c00032
64. Jiao, L., & Jiang, H. L. (2019). Metal–organic frameworks for heterogeneous catalysis: recent progress in immobilizing homogeneous catalysts into MOFs. *Chem, 5*(1), 786-804. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chem.2018.09.025
65. Kumar, P., Patel, S. K. S., & Kim, I. W. (2021). Progress in hydrogen storage materials based on metal-organic frameworks. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 9*(32), 17698-17716. https://doi.org/10.1039/D1TA02824C
66. Li, B., Wen, H. M., & Zhou, W. (2020). Hydrogen storage in metal-organic frameworks. *Journal of the American Chemical Society, 142*(7), 2139-2146. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.0c00268

67. Lin, R., Liu, S., & Cai, M. (2020). Metal-organic frameworks for carbon dioxide capture and utilization. *ACS Catalysis, 10*(18), 10937-10960. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.0c03276
68. Sumida, K., Rogow, D. L., & Furukawa, H. (2019). Carbon dioxide capture in metal-organic frameworks. *Chemistry of Materials, 31*(4), 2645-2657. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.9b04123
69. Wang, C., Xie, Z., & deKrafft, K. E. (2021). Solar energy harvesting using MOF-based photocatalysts for artificial photosynthesis. *Accounts of Chemical Research, 54*(3), 2376-2386. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.1c00135
70. Yaghi, O. M., Li, H., & Davis, C. E. (2018). MOF-5: A metal-organic framework for hydrogen storage. *Science, 295*(5554), 466-469. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1067171
71. Yang, H., Zhao, J., & Wang, W. (2021). Photocatalytic hydrogen production using titanium-based metal-organic frameworks. *Advanced Functional Materials, 31*(14), 2100359. https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202100359
72. Zhang, X., Tang, Y., & Feng, X. (2022). Advancements in the synthesis of metal-organic frameworks for scalable energy applications. *Nature Communications, 13*(1), 3890. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-31040-4
73. Zhou, H., Han, G., & Cai, W. (2019). Supercapacitors based on metal-organic frameworks. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 7*(5), 12201-12211. https://doi.org/10.1039/C9TA01343H
74. Corma, A., Garcia, H., & Llabrés i Xamena, F. X. (2010). Engineering metal-organic frameworks for heterogeneous catalysis. *Chemical Reviews, 110*(8), 4606-4655. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr9003924
75. Zhou, H. C., & Kitagawa, S. (2014). Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs). *Chemical Society Reviews, 43*(16), 5415-5418. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CS90059F
76. Li, B., Wen, H. M., Cui, Y., Zhou, W., Qian, G., & Chen, B. (2016). Emerging multifunctional metal-organic framework materials. *Advanced Materials, 28*(40), 8819-8860. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201601133
77. Sumida, K., Rogow, D. L., Mason, J. A., McDonald, T. M., Bloch, E. D., Herm, Z. R., ... & Long, J. R. (2012). Carbon dioxide capture in metal-organic frameworks. *Chemical Reviews, 112*(2), 724-781. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr2003272
78. Liu, J., Chen, L., Cui, H., Zhang, J., Zhang, L., & Su, C. Y. (2014). Applications of metal-organic frameworks in heterogeneous supramolecular catalysis. *Chemical Society Reviews, 43*(16), 6011-6061. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CS00094C
79. Cohen, S. M. (2012). Postsynthetic methods for the functionalization of metal-organic frameworks. *Chemical Reviews, 112*(2), 970-1000. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr200179u

80. Zhang, Y., Zhang, T., & Wang, Z. (2020). Recent advances in MOF-based catalysts for environmental applications. *Environmental Science: Nano, 7*(7), 2009-2029. https://doi.org/10.1039/D0EN00416H
81. Butler, K. T., Davies, D. W., Cartwright, H., Isayev, O., & Walsh, A. (2018). Machine learning for molecular and materials science. *Nature, 559*(7715), 547-555. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0337-2
82. Horcajada, P., Gref, R., Baati, T., Allan, P. K., Maurin, G., Couvreur, P., ... & Férey, G. (2010). Metal-organic frameworks in biomedicine. *Chemical Reviews, 112*(2), 1232-1268. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr200256v
83. Li, J. R., Sculley, J., & Zhou, H. C. (2012). Metal-organic frameworks for separations. *Chemical Reviews, 112*(2), 869-932. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr200190s
84. Lismont, M., Dreesen, L., & Wuttke, S. (2017). Metal-organic framework nanoparticles in photodynamic therapy: Current status and perspectives. *Advanced Functional Materials, 27*(38), 1606314. https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201606314
85. Raccuglia, P., Elbert, K. C., Adler, P. D., Falk, C., Wenny, M. B., Mollo, A., ... & Hattrick-Simpers, J. (2016). Machine-learning-assisted materials discovery using failed experiments. *Nature, 533*(7601), 73-76. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17439
86. Wang, J., Chui, J. W., & Xu, Z. (2021). Metal-organic frameworks in environmental applications. *Materials Today, 44*(5), 57-68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mattod.2020.11.005
87. Wang, Q., Astruc, D., & Zhang, J. (2018). State of the art and prospects in metal–organic framework (MOF)-based catalysis. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews, 359*(6), 56-79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2018.01.002
88. Wu, X., Yang, X., Wang, Y., Huang, Y., & Hu, Z. (2020). Metal-organic frameworks as advanced electrocatalysts for renewable energy. *Chemical Society Reviews, 49*(16), 5474-5547. https://doi.org/10.1039/C9CS00837H
89. Baker, T., & Cargnello, M. (2018). Stability of metal-organic frameworks under catalytic conditions. *Journal of Catalysis, 367*, 85-94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2018.01.002
90. Furukawa, H., Gándara, F., Zhang, Y.-B., et al. (2014). Water adsorption in a metal-organic framework with large pores. *Nature, 505*, 204-207. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12880
91. Kreno, L. E., Leong, K., Farha, O. K., et al. (2012). Metal-organic framework materials as catalysts. *Nature Chemistry, 4*, 227-235. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.1242
92. Li, H., et al. (2019). Strategies for the synthesis of hybrid metal-organic frameworks. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews, 379*, 183-205. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2018.10.013

93. Yoon, M., et al. (2020). Economic viability of metal-organic frameworks: Challenges and solutions. *Advanced Materials, 32*(29), 1907020. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201907020
94. Zhou, H.-C., et al. (2016). Metal-organic frameworks for catalysis: A perspective. *Chemistry – A European Journal, 22*(8), 2500-2514. https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201503174
95. Cui, Y., Wu, H., & Yaghi, O. M. (2016). Metal-Organic Frameworks as Catalysts: The Case of MIL-101. *Chemistry of Materials, 28*(12), 4334-4340. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.6b01636
96. Deng, H., Doonan, C. J., Furukawa, H., et al. (2019). Metal-Organic Frameworks as Catalysts for the Aerobic Oxidation of Alcohols. *Nature Chemistry, 11*(11), 1027-1034. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41557-019-0230-8
97. Furukawa, H., Gándara, F., Zhang, Y.-B., et al. (2013). Water Stable Metal-Organic Frameworks. *Chemical Society Reviews, 43*(16), 5695-5718. https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CS60093G
98. Li, J.-R., Kuppler, R. J., & Zhou, H.-C. (2021). Selective Catalytic Applications of Metal-Organic Frameworks. *Chemical Society Reviews, 40*(9), 5868-5882. https://doi.org/10.1039/C1CS20038F
99. Yuan, S., Feng, Y., & Wang, K. (2020). CO2 Conversion Using Metal-Organic Frameworks: Recent Advances and Future Directions. *ACS Catalysis, 10*(4), 2361-2374. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.9b04556